

Chapter 316

Two-Stage Least Squares

Introduction

This procedure calculates the two-stage least squares (2SLS) estimate. This method is used fit models that include *instrumental variables*. 2SLS includes four types of variable(s): *dependent*, *exogenous*, *endogenous*, and *instrument*. These are defined as follows:

Dependent Variable	This is the response (or Y) variable that is to be regressed on the exogenous and endogenous (but not the instrument) variables.
Exogenous Variables	These independent (X_{ex}) variables are included in both the first and second stage regression models. They are not correlated with the random error values in the second stage regression.
Endogenous Variables	Each endogenous (or V_{en}) variable becomes the dependent variable in the first stage regression equation. Each is regressed on all exogenous and instrument variables. The predicted values from these regressions replace the original values of the endogenous variables in the second stage regression model.
Instrument Variables	Each endogenous variable becomes the dependent variable in the first stage regression equation. Each is regressed on all exogenous and instrument (X_{iv}) variables. The predicted values from these regressions replace the original values of the endogenous variables in the second stage regression model.

2SLS is used in econometrics, statistics, and epidemiology to provide consistent estimates of a regression equation when controlled experiments are not possible. They are discussed in every modern econometrics text. We have used Kmenta (2011) for the outline and example to follow.

Two-Stage Least Squares

Technical Details

The 2SLS model is comprised of the following two linear regression models.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{X}_{\text{ex}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{ex}} + \mathbf{V}_{\text{en}}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{en}} + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{V}_{\text{en}} &= \mathbf{X}_{\text{ex}}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text{ex}} + \mathbf{X}_{\text{iv}}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text{iv}} + \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \mathbf{E} \end{aligned}$$

where

n : sample size

\mathbf{y} : $n \times 1$ vector dependent variable

\mathbf{X}_{ex} : $n \times k_{\text{ex}}$ matrix of exogenous regressor variables

\mathbf{X}_{iv} : $n \times k_{\text{iv}}$ matrix of instrumental variables

\mathbf{V}_{en} : $n \times k_{\text{en}}$ matrix of endogenous regressor variables

$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{en}}$: $k_{\text{en}} \times 1$ vector of endogenous regressor parameters

$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{ex}}$: $k_{\text{ex}} \times 1$ vector of included exogenous parameters

$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{ex}} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{en}} \end{bmatrix}$: $(k_{\text{ex}} + k_{\text{en}}) \times 1$ vector of parameters

$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_{\text{ex}} | \mathbf{V}_{\text{en}}]$

$\mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{X}_{\text{ex}} | \mathbf{X}_{\text{iv}}]$

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text{ex}}$: $k_{\text{ex}} \times k_{\text{en}}$ matrix of parameters

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text{iv}}$: $k_{\text{iv}} \times k_{\text{en}}$ matrix of parameters

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text{ex}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text{iv}} \end{bmatrix}$: $(k_{\text{ex}} + k_{\text{iv}}) \times k_{\text{en}}$ matrix of parameters

\mathbf{e} : $n \times 1$ vector of errors

\mathbf{E} : $n \times k_{\text{en}}$ matrix of errors

The 2SLS estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is \mathbf{b} given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} &= \{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}\}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \\ \text{Var}(\mathbf{b}) &= s^2\{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$s^2 = E_{SS}/(n - (k_{\text{ex}} + k_{\text{en}}))$: mean squared error

$$E_{SS} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2$$

Two-Stage Least Squares

Hausman's Test of Endogeneity

Cameron and Trivedi (2010) present a special version of Hausman's test may be used to test whether one or more explanatory variables are endogenous. For a single explanatory variable, the test is

$$T_{H,1} = \frac{b_{2SLS} - b_{OLS}}{\text{Var}(b_{2SLS}) - \text{Var}(b_{OLS})}$$

The test statistic is distributed as a chi-square with one degree of freedom under the null hypothesis that the regressor is exogenous.

NCSS also provides an overall test of endogeneity of all the designated endogenous variables. This is calculated as

$$T_{H,ken} = (\mathbf{b}_{2SLS} - \mathbf{b}_{OLS})' (V(\mathbf{b}_{2SLS}) - V(\mathbf{b}_{OLS}))^{-1} (\mathbf{b}_{2SLS} - \mathbf{b}_{OLS})$$

The test statistic is distributed as a chi-square with k_{en} degrees of freedom under the null hypothesis that the regressors are exogenous. Note that \mathbf{b}_{2SLS} and \mathbf{b}_{OLS} represent only those regression coefficients corresponding to endogenous variables.

Data Structure

The data for 2SLS are entered as numeric variables, one column for each variable. An example of data appropriate for this procedure is given in Kmenta (2011) page 687. In that dataset, Q is food consumption per head, P is the ratio of food prices and general consumer prices, D is disposable income, F is the ratio of preceding year's prices received by farmers for products and general consumer prices, and A is time in years. This dataset is called **Kmenta687**.

Kmenta687 Dataset (subset)

Q	P	D	F	A
98.485	100.323	87.4	98	1
99.187	104.264	97.6	99.1	2
102.163	103.435	96.7	99.1	3
101.504	104.506	98.2	98.1	4
104.240	98.001	99.8	110.8	5
103.243	99.456	100.5	108.2	6
103.993	101.066	103.2	105.6	7
99.900	104.763	107.8	109.8	8
.
.
.

Two-Stage Least Squares

Example 1 – Two-Stage Least Squares (All Reports)

This section presents an example of how to run a Two-Stage Least Squares (2SLS) analysis of the Kmenta687 data. In this dataset, Q is the dependent variable, D is the exogenous variable, P is the endogenous variable, and A and F are instrument variables.

Setup

To run this example, complete the following steps:

1 Open the Kmenta687 example dataset

- From the File menu of the NCSS Data window, select **Open Example Data**.
- Select **Kmenta687** and click **OK**.

2 Specify the Two-Stage Least Squares procedure options

- Find and open the **Two-Stage Least Squares** procedure using the menus or the Procedure Navigator.
- The settings for this example are listed below and are stored in the **Example 1** settings template. To load this template, click **Open Example Template** in the Help Center or File menu.

<u>Option</u>	<u>Value</u>
Variables Tab	
Dependent Variable	Q
Exogenous Variables.....	D
Endogenous Variables	P
Instrument Variables.....	A, F
Reports Tab	
Run Summary	Checked
Descriptive Statistics	Checked
Two-Stage Least Squares Estimation	Checked
Write Model.....	Checked
Comparison of 2SLS and OLS	Checked
(with Hausman's Test)	
First-Stage OLS of Endogenous.....	Checked
Variables	
ANOVA	Checked
Prediced Values & Residuals	Checked
Plots Tab	
Residuals vs Yhat	Checked
Residuals vs X's	Checked
Histogram	Checked
Probability Plot.....	Checked

3 Run the procedure

- Click the **Run** button to perform the calculations and generate the output.

Two-Stage Least Squares

Run Summary

Run Summary

Item	Value	Item	Value
Dependent Variable	Q	Total Rows Processed	20
Number of Exogenous Variables	1	Unfiltered Rows	20
Number of Endogenous Variables	1	Unfiltered and Non-Missing Rows	20
Number of Instrument Variables	2	Intercept Included in Model	Yes
$\sqrt{\text{MSE (2SLS)}}$	1.966		
R ² (OLS)	0.7638		

This report summarizes the 2SLS results. It presents the variables used, the number of rows used, etc. Note that the value of R2 is calculated from the regular regression of Y on the exogenous and endogenous variables.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Count	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Q	20	100.898	3.756	92.424	106.232
Intercept	20	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000
D	20	97.535	11.830	75.100	127.100
P	20	100.019	5.926	86.498	113.490
A	20	10.500	5.916	1.000	20.000
F	20	96.625	12.709	68.600	110.800

For each variable, the count, arithmetic mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum are computed. This report is particularly useful for checking that the correct variables were selected.

Two-Stage Least Squares Estimation

Two-Stage Least Squares Estimation

Type	Variable	Regression Coefficient b(i)	Standard Error Sb(i)	T Value b/Sb	P Value
Exogenous	Intercept	94.6333	7.9208	11.947	0.0000
Exogenous	D	0.3140	0.0469	6.689	0.0000
Endogenous	P	-0.2436	0.0965	-2.524	0.0218

Model

94.6333+0.3139918*D-0.2435565*P

This report presents the final results of the 2SLS estimation.

Two-Stage Least Squares

Comparison of Two-Stage Least Squares with Ordinary Least Squares

Comparison of Two-Stage Least Squares with Ordinary Least Squares

Type	Variable	2SLS Regression Coefficient b(2SLS,i)	OLS Regression Coefficient b(OLS,i)	2SLS Standard Error Sb(2SLS,i)	OLS Standard Error Sb(OLS,i)	Reg Coef Difference Z Value	P Value
Exo	Intercept	94.6333	99.8954	7.9208	7.5194		
Exo	D	0.3140	0.3346	0.0469	0.0454		
End	P	-0.2436	-0.3163	0.0965	0.0907	2.207	0.0273

Hausman's Combined Endogeneity Test

χ^2 Value	4.869
DF	1
P-Value	0.0273

This report compares the 2SLS parameters with the OLS (ordinary least squares) parameters. A single degree-of-freedom Hausman z-test and associated p-value is provided to help assess whether each designated endogenous variable is in fact endogenous. A combined test is also provided that test whether all designated endogenous variables are indeed endogenous. If the p-value is small (less than 0.05), exogeneity is rejected and endogeneity is concluded.

First-Stage Ordinary Least Squares Estimation

First-Stage Ordinary Least-Squares Estimation for P

Type	Variable	Regression Coefficient b(i)	Standard Error Sb(i)	T Value b/Sb	P Value
Exogenous	Intercept	90.2678	3.2993	27.360	0.0000
Exogenous	D	0.6632	0.0414	16.011	0.0000
Instrument	A	-0.7370	0.0753	-9.792	0.0000
Instrument	F	-0.4884	0.0380	-12.847	0.0000

R² 0.9434

This series of reports presents the results of regressing each endogenous variable on the exogenous and instrument variables. It is important to pay particular attention to the R² value.

The report has the same definitions as in regular Multiple Regression.

Analysis of Variance Section

Analysis of Variance

Term	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-Ratio	P-Value
Intercept	1	203608.935	203608.935		
Model	2	202.385	101.193	26.172	0.0000
Error	17	65.729	3.866		
Total(Adjusted)	19	268.114			

This section reports the analysis of variance table. Note it based on the 2SLS estimates and so an R² value is not reported since it has no interpretation in this case.


Predicted Values and Residuals

Predicted Values and Residuals			
Row	Actual Q	Predicted Q	Residual
1	98.485	97.642	0.843
2	99.187	99.885	-0.698
3	102.163	99.804	2.359
4	101.504	100.014	1.490
5	104.240	102.101	2.139
6	103.243	101.966	1.277
7	103.993	102.422	1.571
8	99.900	102.966	-3.066
9	100.350	101.475	-1.125
10	102.820	100.328	2.492
11	95.435	95.543	-0.108
12	92.424	94.716	-2.292
13	94.535	96.133	-1.598
14	98.757	99.028	-0.271
15	105.797	103.839	1.958
16	100.225	103.655	-3.430
17	103.522	103.835	-0.313
18	99.929	102.080	-2.151
19	105.223	103.631	1.592
20	106.232	106.900	-0.668

This report shows the predicted values and residuals based on 2SLS. These are the values that are plotted below.


Residual vs Yhat Plot

This plot should always be examined. The preferred pattern to look for is a point cloud or a horizontal band. A wedge or bowtie pattern is an indicator of nonconstant variance, a violation of a critical assumption. A sloping or curved band signifies inadequate specification of the model. A sloping band with increasing or decreasing variability suggests nonconstant variance and inadequate specification of the model.




Residual vs X's Plot(s)

These are scatter plots of the residuals versus each exogenous variable. The preferred pattern is a rectangular shaped point cloud. Any nonrandom pattern may require a redefining of the regression model.



Histogram

The purpose of the histogram of the residuals is to evaluate whether they are normally distributed. Unless you have a large sample size, it is best not to rely on the histogram for visually evaluating the normality of the residuals. The better choice will be the normal probability plot.



Two-Stage Least Squares

Normal Probability Plot of Residuals

If the residuals are normally distributed, the data points of the normal probability plot will fall along a straight line. Major deviations from this ideal picture reflect departures from normality. Stragglers at either end of the normal probability plot indicate outliers, curvature at both ends of the plot indicates long or short distributional tails, convex or concave curvature indicates a lack of symmetry, and gaps or plateaus or segmentation in the normal probability plot may require a closer examination of the data or model. Of course, use of this graphic tool with very small sample sizes is not recommended.

Distributional Plots of Residuals

